

B. Brunson Box 296 Baxter Springs, Kansas
1-25-68 66713

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Thank you for your letter. I will keep an eye on the Paul Scott column and send you immediately any subsequent columns which touch on you or the Garrison investigation. (This, sir, is the ultimate sacrifice: to commit myself to study the editorial page of the Tulsa World. It is guaranteed to damage my blood pressure.)-I had no doubt at all that Scott had taken material leaked to him deliberately and twisted it out of shape, trying to skirt the libel laws.

I read that Garrison has subpoenaed Marina Oswald. If, by chance, he should get her I hope he will catch her up on that "Walker" letter. You will remember, perhaps, that about Nov. 1966 I sent you an analysis of this letter which shows that it could not have been written in April 1963. This was a sentence by sentence analysis. -I could make you another one, even more complete, if by chance you should want it. There is one point in the evidence which I overlooked putting in the paper I sent you which proves that they were not living at Neely Street when that letter was written. It has to do with when they paid the water and gas bill. There is evidence in the Hearings, solid, that they did not pay a water bill on Neely Street. Statement from the company.

The trouble with our correspondence is that I do not know where I stand. I prefer not to send you peripheral material; I would rather be of some help, direct help. So if there is any point you would like me to check out in the evidence available to me, let me know. I have the Hearings and the published literature, all that I have been able to buy.

And I still think that it might be a good idea to try to locate that "copy" Ruth Paine made of Oswald's letter to the CPA in early Nov. 1963, in order to ascertain whether or not it is a copy or whether it is a first draft. Someone trained in the literature or grammar of the English language could figure this out.

I consider the attached paper the hottest one I have ever sent you with the exception of the material on the rider on the knell and the Parallax Altgens (it is important to remember this is an AP alternate. Not only Parallax but Esquire and the National Enquirer used it, in May 1967.). It means, to me, that Kellerman and his faction of the Secret Service had this assassination figured out on the lines I have as early as Nov. 27, 1963 and still felt that way in March 1964.

L. Brunson